

The Gazette of India

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 28] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JULY 10, 1954

NOTICE

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 3rd July 1954 :—

Issue	No. and date	Issued by	Subject
142	S.R.O. 2098, dated the 28th June 1954.	Delimitation Commission, India.	Proposals in respect of the distribution of seats allotted to the State of Kutch in the House of the People.
143	S.R.O. 2099, dated the 16th June 1954.	Election Commission, India.	Election Case No. 113 of 1952.
144	S.R.O. 2100, dated the 29th June 1954.	Ministry of States	Appointment of date on which the Himachal Pradesh and Bilaspur (New State) Act, 1954 shall come into force.
145	S.R.O. 2101, dated the 30th June 1954.	Ditto	The Himachal Pradesh and Bilaspur (Removal of Difficulties) Order, 1954.

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

PART II—Section 3

Statutory Rules and Orders issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and Central Authorities (other than the Chief Commissioners).

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

New Delhi, the 3rd July 1954

S.R.O. 2231.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 22 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (XLIII of 1951), the

Election Commission hereby makes the following amendment in its Notification No. 62/3/51-Elec.II(3), dated the 5th October, 1951, namely:—

"Amendment"

In the Table appended to the said Notification, for the existing entry in column 2 relating to the Kolhapur-cum-Satara constituency, the entry, 'Personal Assistant to the Collector of Kolhapur' shall be substituted."

[No. 155/3/54/12225.]

By Order,

P. N. SHINGHAL, Secy.

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 29th June 1954

S.R.O. 2232.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, read with articles 313 and 372 thereof, and paragraph 19 of the Adaptation of Laws Order, 1950, the President hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the rules published with the Notification of the Government of India in the late Home Department No. F. 9-19/30-Ests., dated the 27th February, 1932 namely:—

In the Schedule to the rules published with the said Notification, under the heading "Commerce Department", after the entries relating to "Mercantile Marine Administration etc.", the following sub-heading and entries shall be inserted, namely:—

(Kandla Port Project (Capital and Revenue Branches))

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Class III posts	Development Commissioner, Kandla.	1. Development Commissioner, Kandla.	(vi) & (vii)	Secretary to the Government of India Ministry of Transport, New Delhi.
		<i>Administration Branch</i>		
		2. Secretary to the Development Commissioner, Kandla.	(i) to (v)	Development Commissioner, Kandla.
		<i>Accounts Branch</i>		
		3. Financial Adviser and Chief Accounts Officer.	(i) to (v)	Do.
		<i>Engineering Branch</i>		
		4. Divisional Officers	(i) & (ii)	Superintending Engineer.
		5. Superintending Engineer.	(i) & (ii)	Chief Engineer, Kandla Port.
		(a) in respect of staff in the Engineering Branch only.	(iii) to (v)	
		(b) in respect of staff in the Divisions.		
		6. Chief Engineer (in respect of staff in the Engineering Branch only.)	(iii) to (v)	Development Commissioner, Kandla.
		<i>Port Conservancy Branch and Minor Port of Kandla</i>		
		7. Assistant Traffic Manager.	(i) & (ii)	Deputy Conservator, Kandla Port.
		8. Assistant Conservator	(i) & (ii)	Do.
		9. Deputy Conservator	(iii) to (v)	Development Commissioner, Kandla.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<i>Administration Branch</i>				
Class IV posts	Secretary to the Development Commissioner, Kandla.	1. Secretary to the Development Commissioner, Kandla.	(i) to (vii)	Development Commissioner, Kandla.
<i>Accounts Branch</i>				
		2. Financial Adviser and Chief Accounts Officer.	(i) to (vii)	Do.
<i>Engineering Branch</i>				
Class IV posts	Secretary to the Development Commissioner, Kandla.	3. Divisional Officers	(i) & (ii)	Superintending Engineer
		4. Superintending Engineer (a) in respect of staff in the Engineering Branch only.	(i) to (v)	Chief Engineer, Kadalr Ports
		(b) in respect of staff in the Divisions only.	(iii) to (v)	
		5. Chief Engineer	(vi) & (vii)	Development Commissioner, Kandla.
<i>Port Conservancy Branch and Minor Port of Kandla</i>				
	Deputy Conservator, Kandla Port.	6. Assistant Traffic Manager.	(i) to (iv)	Deputy Conservator Kandla Port.
		7. Assistant Conservator	(i) to (iv)	Do.
		8. Deputy Conservator	(v) to (vii)	Development Commissioner, Kandla.

[No. 7/8/54-Ests.]

New Delhi, the 6th July 1954

S.R.O. 2233.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, read with articles 313 and 372 thereof and paragraph 19 of the Adaptation of Laws Order, 1950, the President hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the Notification of the Government of India in the late Home Department No. F.9/2/33-Ests., dated the 9th January 1934, namely:—

In the Scheduled to the said Notification, after the heading and entries under “Indian School of Mines”, the following heading and entries thereunder shall be inserted, namely:—

“Department of Mines,

Statistician, Administrative Officer, Assistant Inspector of Mines and any other class II posts in the Department of Mines.

Chief Inspector of Mines in India.

Chief Inspector of Mines in All India.

[No. 7/10/54-Ests(A).]

S.R.O. 2234.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, read with articles 313 and 372 thereof and paragraph 19 of the Adaptation of Laws Order, 1950, the President hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the rules published with the

notification of the Government of India in the late Home Department No. F.9/19/30-Ests., dated the 27th February 1932, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said Rules, for the entries under the heading relating to "Department of Industries and Labour" and sub-heading "Mines Department", the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

Class III

Superintendent, Head Clerks, Law Assistant, Head Surveyor, Surveyors, Junior Labour Inspector and any other Class III posts carrying a maximum pay of Rs. 300 or above.	Chief Inspector of Mines in India.	Deputy Chief Inspector of Mines in India.	(i) to (v)	Chief Inspector of Mines in India.
		Chief Inspector of Mines in India.	(vi) & (vii)	Secretary, Ministry of Labour.
Clerks, Stenographers, Draftsmen Surveyors, Draftsmen, and any other Class III posts whose maximum pay is below Rs. 300.	Deputy Inspector of Mines in India.	Chief Inspector of Mines in India.	All	Chief Inspector of Mines in India.

Class IV.

File Suppliers, Duftry, Jamadar, Peons, Chainmen, Chowkidars, Waterman and any other Class IV posts.	Deputy Inspector of Mines in India.	Chief Inspector of Mines in India.	All	Chief Inspector of Mines in India.
--	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----	------------------------------------

[No. 7/10/54-Ests(A).]

S. P. MAHNA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 3rd July 1954

S.R.O. 2235.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 27 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878 (XI of 1878), the Central Government hereby exempts 119 (one hundred and nineteen) personnel of Nepalese Army shortly proceeding to Kathmandu through Indian territory from all the prohibitions and directions contained in the said Act in respect of—

(a) *From Sarlahi to Kathmandu.*

Twenty seven .303 short rifles.

Two hundred and seventy .303 short rifles RDS.

Twenty four .303 short rifle bayonets.

Two Martinhenry rifles.

One thousand nine hundred and seventy six Martinhenry rifles RDS.

Two thousand seven hundred and eighty one Martinhenry rifle blanks RDS.

Two thousand five hundred and forty nine Martinhenry rifle buck shots.

(b) *From Jaleshwar to Kathmandu.*

One hundred and thirty nine .303 short rifles.

One thousand three hundred and ninty .303 short rifles RDS.

One hundred and thirty nine .303 short rifle bayonets.

Five Martinhenry rifles.

Two thousand six hundred and thirty five Martinhenry rifles RDS.

Two thousand six hundred and twenty seven Martinhenry rifle buckshots.

Two thousand eight hundred and eighty seven Martinhenry rifle blanks RDS.

[No. 9/41/54-Police (I).]

New Delhi, the 6th July 1954

S.R.O. 2236.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sections 4 and 17 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878 (XI of 1878), the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the Indian Arms Rules, 1951, namely:—

In the said Rules—

- (1) In rule 34, after the words "Sub-Divisional Magistrate" the words "or, in Himachal Pradesh, any Tehsildar" shall be inserted.
- (2) In Form XIX in Schedule VIII, below the words "Sub-Divisional Magistrate—District", the words "Tehsildar—Tehsil, Himachal Pradesh" shall be inserted.

[No. 9/83/53-Police(I).]

N. SAHGAL, Dy. Secy.

ORDER

New Delhi, the 5th July 1954

S.R.O. 2237.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 63 of the Andhra State Act, 1953 (30 of 1953), the President hereby directs that the following amendments shall be made in the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs, No. S.R.O. 1947, dated the 10th October 1953, namely:—

1. In the Schedule to the said Order, under the heading "Madras Medical Department" and the sub-heading "Nursing staff—Non-gazetted"—

- (i) serial numbers 65, 86 and 176 and the entries in columns (1), (2) and (3) against those serial numbers (relating to Srimathi C. V. S. Murthy, Srimathi M. Ross and Srimathi Sakuntala Srinivasan Rao) shall be omitted;
- (ii) after serial number 245 and the entries relating thereto, the sub-heading "Temporary Nurses" shall be inserted;
- (iii) serial number 119 and the entries in columns (1), (2) and (3) against that serial number in the category of Temporary Nurses (relating to Kumari V. Lazarus, Temporary Nurse, Government Royapettah Hospital, Madras) shall be omitted; and
- (iv) the remaining serial numbers in both categories shall be renumbered accordingly.

2. In the Schedule to the said Order, under the heading "Madras Public Health Department"—

- (i) for the entry in column (3) against serial number 78 relating to Sri B. Venkateswara Rao, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—
"Allotted Officer.";
- (ii) for the entry in column 3 against serial number 79 relating to Sri Sivadasamenon, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—
"Transferred Officer"; and
- (iii) under the sub-heading "Ministerial Staff", for the entry in column (2) against serial number 5 relating to Sri P. R. Ramamurthi, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—
"Permanent Office Assistant".

[No. 26/4/53-AIS(I).]

N. N. CHATTERJEE, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE
(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 2nd July 1954

S.R.O. 2238.—In pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 21 of the Industrial Finance Corporation Act, 1948 (XV of 1948), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Board of Directors of the said Corporation, hereby fixes the rate of interest on the Bonds to be issued by the Industrial Finance Corporation of India during the year, 1954, and maturing within 18 months from the date of issue at the rate of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per centum per annum.

[No. F.2(19)-FIII/54.]

K. P. BISWAS, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE (REVENUE DIVISION)

CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 10th July 1954

S.R.O. 2239.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 23 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Government hereby exempts steel strips imported into India and falling under item No. 63(14) of the First Schedule to the Indian Tariff Act, 1934 (XXXII of 1934), from—

- (1) so much of the duty of customs leviable thereon under the last mentioned Act, as is in excess of the duty of 10 per cent. *ad valorem*, where the standard rate of duty is leviable; and
- (2) the whole of the duty leviable thereon, where the preferential rate of duty is leviable,

and in either case also from the whole of the additional duty of customs leviable thereon under any law for the time being in force, where such additional duty is in addition to the duty of customs leviable thereon under the said First Schedule.

[No. 62.]

S.R.O. 2240.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 23 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Government hereby exempts "Lamp Black", an article falling under item 87 of the First Schedule to the Indian Tariff Act, 1934 (XXXII of 1934), from the whole of the Customs duty leviable thereon, when imported into India for the purpose of manufacturing printing ink or black paint.

[No. 66.]

E. RAJARAM RAO, Joint Secy.

CENTRAL BOARD OF REVENUE

ESTATE DUTY

New Delhi, the 6th July 1954

S.R.O. 2241.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 85 of the Estate Duty Act, 1953 (34 of 1953), the Central Board of Revenue hereby makes the following amendments to the Estate Duty Rules, 1953, the same having been previously published as required by sub-section (1) of section 85 of the said Act, namely:—

In the said Rules—

1. After sub-rule (5) of rule 26, the following sub-rules shall be inserted, namely:—

“(6) The appeal to the Central Board of Revenue under section 63 of the Act shall be in Form E.D. 9.

(7) An application requiring the Central Board of Revenue to refer to the High Court any question of law arising out of an order passed under sub-section (3) of section 63 shall be in Form E.D. 10".

2. After rule 29, the following rule shall be inserted, namely:—

"Information to be furnished by a company regarding a deceased joint shareholder.

29A.—*Particulars to be furnished by an Indian Company in the case of the death of a joint holder of shares.*—Where a company formed and registered under the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) has come to know through any of its principal officers, of the death of any of the joint holders of a share or shares in the company, it shall within one month of receipt of such knowledge furnish the following particulars to the Assistant Controller or Deputy Controller who is exercising the functions of the Income-tax Officer in the case of the Company:—

- (i) The name and address of the deceased joint holder;
 - (ii) the name and address of every other person holding the share or shares jointly with the deceased joint holder;
 - (iii) the description of the share or shares so held jointly;
 - (iv) the nominal value of the share or shares held jointly immediately before the death of the joint holder;
 - (v) the beneficial interest of the deceased joint holder in the share or shares, if known;
 - (vi) the estimated market value of the joint share or shares on the date of the death of the deceased;
 - (vii) the name and address of the trustee, administrator or other legal representative of the deceased, if known."
3. After Form E. D. 8, the following Forms shall be added, namely:—

"FORM E.D. 9

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Estate Duty

Form of appeal under section 63 of the Estate Duty Act, 1953

BEFORE THE CENTRAL BOARD OF REVENUE, NEW DELHI

The day of 19....

In the matter of the estate of.....
deceased, of who died on the
day of 19...., and was residing at
P. O. District State
sheweth as follows:—

*1. Your petitioner(s) $\frac{\text{is}}{\text{are}}$ the accountable person(s) in respect of the above Estate.

*2. Under the Estate Duty Act 1953.

(a) the principal value of the estate which passed or was deemed to pass on the deceased's death has been determined at

or

(b) the amount of Estate Duty payable by your petitioner(s) has been determined at

or

(c) the amount of penalty levied on your petitioner(s) is

or

(d) the $\frac{\text{Liability}}{\text{Obligation}}$ to pay duty in respect of the above estate has been fixed on the petitioner(s) by the $\frac{\text{Assistant}}{\text{Deputy}}$ Controller of Estate

Duty.....

and

the Notice of Demand attached hereto was served upon your petitioner(s) on 19....

*3. Your petitioner(s) has submitted an Account(s) of the deceased's total property to the Assistant Controller of Estate Duty
prepared by Deputy.
 as required by sub-section (3) of section 53/clause (a) of section 57.
 under sub-section (2) of section 61.

*4. Your petitioner(s) claim(s) that in respect of the estate which passed or was deemed to pass on the deceased's death:—

(a) the principal value works out at

or

(b) the amount of duty payable works out at**.

or

(c) the amount of penalty works out at**.

or

(d) no penalty is payable by your petitioner(s), that on the death of the said deceased no other property passed or may be deemed to have passed.

*5. Your petitioner(s) applied for the grant of a Certificate of Discharge.
Certificate under section 60.
 This request was rejected by the Assistant Controller of Estate Duty
Deputy
vide his order No....., dated the.....19 .

On the ground(s) stated below, your petitioner(s) therefore pray(s) that*.

(a) the deceased's estate may be valued accordingly,

or

(b) the duty may be fixed accordingly.

or

(c) the amount of penalty may be reduced accordingly.

or

(d) he
they may be declared not liable to penalty.

or

(e) he
they may be declared not to be accountable for duty.

or

(f) he
they may granted Certificate of Discharge.
Certificate under section 60.

**Signature(s) _____

Grounds of Appeal

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Form of verification

I,
We,

the petitioner(s) named in the above petition, do declare that what is stated therein is true to the best of ^{my}_{our} information and belief.

***Signature(s) _____

N.B.—* Delete the inappropriate words.

** The duty or penalty need not be entered by the appellant(s) if the grounds of appeal indicate clearly the objections to the duty or penalty, as the case may be, determined by the ^{Assistant}_{Deputy} Controller

*** The form of appeal and the form of verification appended thereto, shall be signed by the executor, manager or 'Karta' of a Hindu joint family, trustee, guardian, Committee or any other person to whom any property of the deceased passes or in whom it is vested or who takes possession of or intermeddles with the estate or by the person(s) on whom the liability/obligation to pay estate duty has been fixed.

FORM E.D. 10

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Estate Duty

Form of Reference Application under section 64(1) of the Estate Duty Act, 1953.
 BEFORE THE CENTRAL BOARD OF REVENUE, NEW DELHI.

The day of 19....

In the matter of the estate of (name of the deceased)
 of, 64 R.A. No. 195/5, (to be filled
 in by the office).

..... V
 (Applicant) (Respondent)

State from which the application is filed

Number of the appeal which gives
 rise to the reference.

The applicant states as follows:—

1. That the appeal noted above was decided by the Central Board of Revenue on

2. That notice of the order under sub-section (3) of section 63 of the Estate Duty Act, 1953, was served on the applicant on

3. That the facts which are admitted and/or found by the Central Board of Revenue and which are necessary for drawing up a statement of the case, are stated in the enclosure for ready reference.

4. That the following questions of law arise out of the order of the Central Board of Revenue:—

(1)

(2)

(3)

5. That the applicant, therefore, requires under sub-section (1) of section 64 of the aforesaid Act that a statement of the case be drawn up and the

questions of law numbered out of the questions of law referred to in paragraph 4 above be referred to the High Court.

6. That the documents or copies thereof, as specified below (the translation in English of the documents, where necessary, is annexed) be forwarded to the High Court with the statement of the case.

Address.

Signed.

(applicant)

Signed.

(authorised representative, if any).

N.B.—The application when made by an accountable person must be accompanied by a fee of one hundred rupees. It is suggested that the fee should be credited in the Treasury or a branch of the Imperial Bank of India or a branch of the Reserve Bank of India, after obtaining a chalan from the Controller of Estate Duty and the triplicate chalan sent to the Central Board of Revenue with the application. The Central Board of Revenue will not accept cheques, drafts hundies or other negotiable instruments."

[No. 13.]

R. K. DAS, Secy.

CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 10th July 1954

S.R.O. 2242.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 12 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in its notification No. 10-Cus., dated the 4th February 1950, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification the following entries shall be omitted, namely:—

3. Muthupet.	Adirampatnam.
5. Vattanam.	Tondi.
6. Pudupatnam.	Tondi.
7. Theethandathanam.	Tondi.
8. Alangankolam.	Devipatnam.
11. Muthupettai.	Kilakarai.
15. Chavakat.	Ponnani.
16. Tanur.	Ponnani.
17. Kapatta.	Calicut.
19. Kottakkal.	Badagara.
20. Muttankal.	Badagara.
21. Chompayi.	Badagara.
22. Pudiyangadi.	Azhikkal.

[No. 63.]

S.R.O. 2243.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 12 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in its notification No. 9-Cus., dated the 4th February 1950, as subsequently amended, namely:—

In the said notification, item 1, that is to say,

"I. Devipatnam in the District of Ramnad" shall be omitted and items 2 to 4 shall be renumbered as items 1 to 3 respectively.

[No. 64.]

S.R.O. 2244.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of section 11 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in its notification

No. 88-Customs, dated the 9th September 1950, as subsequently amended, namely:—

In the Schedule annexed to the said notification in the entries relating to Tanjore District the entry "Tirumalaivasal" shall be omitted.

[No. 65.]

W. SALDANHA, Secy.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

New Delhi, the 29th June 1954

S.R.O. 2245.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Indian Power Alcohol Act, 1948 (XXII of 1948), the Central Government hereby specifies the 5th day of July, 1954, as the date on which the said Act shall come into force in the areas of the State of Bombay, specified in Column 2 of the Schedule hereto annexed.

SCHEDULE

S. No.	Name of the area	District	State
1.	2	3	4
1.	Nasik Taluqa	Nasik	Bombay
2.	Dindori Taluqa	"	"
3.	Igatpuri Taluqa	"	"
4.	Niphad Taluqa excluding Lasalgaon	"	"
5.	Sinnar Taluqa	"	"
6.	Sanganner Taluqa	Ahmednagar	"

[No. 15.]

S.R.O. 2246.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Indian Power Alcohol Act, 1948 (XXII of 1948), the Central Government hereby directs that with effect from the 5th July, 1954, no petrol shall be sold or kept for sale in the areas of the State of Bombay specified in column 2 of the Schedule to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, S.R.O. No. 15 dated the 29th June, 1954, except with the admixture of power alcohol in the proportion of 20 parts by volume of power alcohol to 80 parts by volume of petrol.

[No. 16.]

A. S. BHATNAGAR, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 30th June 1954

S.R.O. 2247.—The following Notification issued by the Iron and Steel Controller under clause 11B of the Iron and Steel (Control of Production and Distribution) Order, 1941 is published for general information.

NOTIFICATION

"In exercise of the Powers conferred by sub-clause (1) of Clause 11B of the Iron and Steel (Control of Production and Distribution) Order, 1941 and with the approval of the Central Government, the Iron and Steel Controller is pleased to notify the following amendment to the late Ministry of Industry and Supply Notification No. 1(1)-2(32)/50, dated 12th April, 1950, published in Part I, Section 1 of the Gazette of India of 22nd April, 1950, as amended from time to time ending with the Ministry of Commerce and Industry's Notification No. SC(A)-2 (84)/52, dated 5th November, 1953, (S.R.O. 2099), published in the Gazette of India of the 14th November, 1953.

Amendment

Under Part II of Special Conditions for sales by Controlled Stockholders:

1. Delivery charges for Kanpur for Rs. 4/6/- per ton read Rs. 8/- per ton.
2. Delivery charges for Delhi for Rs. 4/8/- per ton read Rs. 5/12/- per ton.

This amendment shall take effect from the date of this Notification and shall apply to all deliveries effected on or after this date.

[No. SC(A)/2(103)/53.]

C. R. NATESAN,
Iron and Steel Controller."

D. HEJMADI, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 10th July 1954

S.R.O. 2248.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Essential Supplies (Temporary Powers) Act, 1946 (XXIV of 1946), the Central Government is pleased to direct that the following further amendment shall be made in the Cotton Textiles (Control) Order, 1948, namely:—

In the said Order, in the proviso to paragraph (a) of sub-clause (3) of clause 21 for the word and figure "June 1954" the word and figure "December 1954" shall be substituted.

[No. 9(4)-CT(A)/54-5.]

S. A. TECKCHANDANI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

(Agriculture)

New Delhi, the 3rd July 1954

S.R.O. 2249.—The Central Government are pleased to re-nominate Shri H. R. Saini, Director of Agriculture, Punjab, Simla, as a member of the Indian Central Cotton Committee under section 4(ii) of the Indian Cotton Cess Act, 1923, for a period of 3 years from 1st April 1954 to represent the Department of Agriculture, Punjab.

[No. F.I-12/54-Commod. II.]

New Delhi, the 5th July 1954

S.R.O. 2250.—Shri K. Gopalan, Secretary, Indian Central Coconut Committee, is granted earned leave for 75 days with effect from the 14th June 1954 (F.N.), with permission to prefix Sunday, the 13th June 1954, to the leave.

Shri K. S. Ananthasubramony, Superintendent, Office of the Indian Central Coconut Committee, is appointed as officiating Secretary, Indian Central Coconut Committee, from the 14th June 1954 (F.N.) *vice* Shri K. Gopalan.

[No. F.21-21/54-Com.I.]

F. C. GERA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (Indian Post and Telegraphs Department)

New Delhi, the 1st July 1954

S.R.O. 2251.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Telegraph Wires (Unlawful Possession) Act, 1950 (LXXIV of 1950), the Central Government hereby appoints the 15th day of July, 1954 as the date on which the said Act shall come into force in the State of Jammu and Kashmir.

[No. NM.5-14/54.]

(Posts & Telegraphs)

New Delhi, the 5th July 1954

S.R.O. 2252.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the Indian Post Office Act, 1898 (VI of 1898), the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the Indian Post Office Rules, 1933, namely:—

In the proviso to sub-rule (1) of rule 44 of the said Rules, for the word and figures "30th June 1954" the word and figures "30th June, 1955" shall be substituted.

[No. C.7-1/52-Pt.]

V. M. BHIDE, Dy. Secy.

New Delhi, the 3rd July 1954

S.R.O. 2253.—The following draft of certain further amendments in the Indian Aircraft Rules, 1937, which it is proposed to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Indian Aircraft Act, 1934 (XXII of 1934), is published as required by section 14 of the said Act, for the information of persons likely to be affected thereby, and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration after the 10th October, 1954.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received by the undersigned from any person with respect to the said draft before the date specified will be considered by the Central Government.

DRAFT AMENDMENTS

In the said Rules—

(i) in sub-rule (1) of rule 3—

(a) after the definition of the expression "Contracting State", the following definition shall be inserted, namely:—

"Corporation" means either of the Corporations established under the Air Corporations Act, 1953 (27 of 1953); and

(b) for the definition of the expression "Scheduled air transport service", the following definition shall be substituted namely:—

"Scheduled air transport service" shall have the same meaning as in the Air Corporations Act, 1953 (27 of 1953);

(ii) in part XIII, for the heading "Licensing of Air Transport Services", the heading "Air Transport Services" shall be substituted.

(iii) for Rule 134, the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

"134. *Air Transport Services.*—(1) Except as provided in the Air Corporations Act, 1953 (27 of 1953), it shall not be lawful for any person other than the Corporation or their associates to operate any Scheduled air transport service from, to, in, or across India.

(2) The Central Government may permit any air transport undertaking of which the principal place of business is in any country outside India to operate an air transport service from, to, or across India in accordance with the terms of any agreement for the time being in force between the Government of India and the Government of that country, or, where there is no such agreement, of a temporary authorisation by the Government of India.

(3) No air transport service, other than a scheduled air transport service or an air transport service, to which the provisions of sub-rule (1) or (2) apply, shall be operated except with the special permission of the Central Government and subject to such terms and conditions as it may think fit to impose in each case."

(iv) rule 135, 135 A, 135 B, 135 C, 135 E, 135 F, 136, 137, 138, 139 and 139 A, shall be omitted;

(v) for rule 140, the following rules shall be substituted, namely:—

"140. *Minimum requirements to be complied with.*—Any Scheduled air transport service operated by the Corporation shall comply with the safety requirements with respect to air routes, aircraft and aircrew prescribed in Schedule VIII to these rules.

140A. *Director General's sanction to introduction of any new routes or alteration in any existing routes of Scheduled air transport services.*—Before operating a Scheduled air transport service on a new route or making a substantial alteration in, or effecting the discontinuance of, any of the existing routes of such service, or introducing a new time-table for such service, the Corporation shall obtain the concurrence of the Director General, in so far as such operation or, as the case may be, such discontinuance affects, or is likely to affect, the air route or aerodrome facilities, and give at least three days' previous notice to the Director General before the date proposed for the operation of the new route, or for the substantial alteration of an existing route or for the introduction of a new time Table or, as the case may be, for the discontinuance of an existing route.

140B. *Operations Manual.*—(1) An Operations Manual in the form approved by the Director General, shall be maintained by the Corporation.

(2) The Operations Manual shall, in addition to any other relevant information contain the following, that is to say—

- (a) instructions outlining the responsibilities of operations personnel pertaining to the conduct of flight operations,
- (b) the flight crew for each stage of all routes to be flown, including the designation of the succession of command,
- (c) in-flight procedure,
- (d) emergency flight procedure,
- (e) the minimum safe flight altitude for each route to be flown,
- (f) the circumstances in which a radio listening watch is to be maintained, and
- (g) a list of the navigational equipments to be carried.

(3) A copy of the Operations Manual, or such part of the Manual as may be prescribed by the Director General, shall be carried in all aircraft of the Corporation engaged in Scheduled air transport services.

140C. *Route Guides.*—All aircraft of the Corporation engaged in Scheduled air transport services shall carry a Route Guide, which shall be in addition to any other relevant information, contain the following, that is to say:—

- (a) communication facilities, navigation aids and a list of aerodromes available on the route to be flown,
- (b) instrument 'let down' procedure for aerodromes on route or, those likely to be used as 'alternates',
- (c) meteorological minima for each of the aerodromes on the route to be flown and that are likely to be used as regular or alternate aerodromes, and
- (d) specific instructions for computation of the quantities of fuel and oil to be carried on each route, having regard to all circumstances of the operation, including the possibility of the failure of one or more engines of the aircraft";

(vi) rules 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 147A, 148, 148A, 148B, 149, 150, 151 and 152 shall be omitted,

(vii) for rule 153, the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

"153. *Carriage of mails.*—The Corporation shall cause to be carried by its Scheduled air transport services such mails as may from time to time be required to be carried from one place to another by the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, or any person authorised by him in this behalf";

(viii) rules 154 and 155 shall be omitted;

(ix) Schedules VII and IX shall be omitted;

(x) in Schedule VIII—(a) for the sub-heading "Minimum conditions to be fulfilled (see rule 140)", the following sub-heading shall be substituted, namely:—

"Minimum requirements to be complied with by Scheduled air transport services operated by the Corporation (see rule 140)"; and

(b) for the words "the Board", wherever they occur, the words "the Director-General" shall be substituted.

[No. 10-A/16-54.]

K. V. VENKATACHALAM, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT**(Transport Wing)****PORTS***New Delhi, the 29th June 1954*

S.R.O. 2254.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 3 of the Indian Ports Act, 1908 (XV of 1908), the Central Government hereby authorise Shri R. P. Kelker, a pilot of the Madras Port Trust, to pilot vessels in the Port of Madras during daylight hours.

[No. 13-PI(57)/54.]

K. NARAYANAN, Under Secy.

(Transport Wing)**MERCHANT SHIPPING***New Delhi, the 29th June 1954*

S.R.O. 2255.—In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 213B of the Indian Merchant Shipping Act, 1923 (XXI of 1923), the Central Government hereby declares that the Government of NICARAGUA have accepted the Safety Convention as defined in clause (d) of section 213-A of the said Act, that is to say, the Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea signed in London on the tenth day of June, nineteen hundred and fortyeight, as amended from time to time.

[No. 46-MA(5)/53.]

D. D. SURI, Under Secy.

(Transport Wing)**PORTS***New Delhi, the 1st July 1954*

S.R.O. 2256.—The following draft of a rule which it is proposed to make in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (jj) of sub-section (1) of section 6 of the Indian Ports Act, 1908 (XV of 1908), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of Travancore-Cochin, No. IR-7-4383/50/PWC, dated the 23rd June 1950, for the purpose of fixing the rates of rent to be paid for the use of warehouses and transit sheds on the Willingdon Island belonging to the Port of Cochin, is published as required by sub-section (2) of the said section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 20th August 1954.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date specified will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Rule

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (jj) of sub-section (1) of section 6 of the Indian Ports Act, 1908 (XV of 1908), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of Travancore-Cochin, No. IR-7-4383/50/PWC.

dated the 23rd June, 1950, the Central Government hereby makes the following rule, namely:—

Rule

The rates of rent for the use of space in the warehouses and transit sheds on the Willingdon Island belonging to the Port of Cochin shall be as follows:—

I. Warehouses and Sheds except "M" Shed at Low Wharf:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1 Under permits issued by the Conservator of the Port of Cochin or any other Officer authorised by him in this behalf for periods not exceeding one year. | Rs. 10 per 100 sq. ft. or less per calendar month or part thereof. |
| 2 Under leases for periods— | |
| (a) exceeding one year but not exceeding three years; | Rs. 9 per 100 sq. ft. or less per calendar month or <i>pro rata</i> for part thereof. |
| (b) exceeding three years but not exceeding five years. | Rs 8/12/- per 100 sq. ft. or less per calendar month or <i>pro rata</i> for part thereof. |

II. "M" Shed at the Low Wharf.

- | | |
|---|---|
| Under permits issued by the Conservator of the Port of Cochin or any other Officer authorised by him in this behalf for periods not exceeding one year. | Rs. 12-8- per 100 sq. ft. or less per calendar month or part thereof. |
|---|---|

NOTE 1.—If, in order to suit the convenience of the Port, a permit is granted for a fraction of a calendar month or if the permit is revoked in the course of a calendar month, rent for the actual period of occupation shall be charged on a *pro-rata* basis.

2. The rates mentioned in sub-items (1) and (2) of Item 1 above apply only to the storage of wharf import or wharf export goods. When goods other than wharf import or wharf export goods are stored within the wharf premises in accommodation leased specifically for the storage of wharf import or wharf export goods, an additional rent at a rate equal to the wharfage rate fixed for the class of goods concerned shall also be levied. The storage of such goods shall be allowed only in exceptional cases at the sole discretion of the Traffic Manager and with his prior written permission. No services will be rendered by the Port in respect of such goods.

[No. 6-PII(51)/54.]

A. V. SUBRAMANIA IYER, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS

(Railway Board)

CORRIGENDA

New Delhi, the 10th July 1954

S.R.O. 2257.—1. Read "para. 9" for "para. 8" appearing in para. 5 of Appendix V of the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) Notifications E54RR4, E54RR7-1 and E54RR6 published in Part II Section 3 of the Gazette of India dated 29th May 1954 under S.R.O. Nos. 1775, 1776 and 1777 dated 15th May 1954 respectively.

2. Read "para. 10" for "para. 9" appearing in para. 6 of Appendix V of the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) Notification No. E54RR2-1 published in Part II Section 3 of the Gazette of India dated 29th May 1954 under S.R.O. No. 1778, dated 15th May 1954.

3. Read "Electrical Communication Engineering" for "Mechanical Engineering" appearing under Optional subjects in Appendix III of the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) Notification No. E54RR6 published in Part II Section 3 of the Gazette of India dated 29th May 1954 under S.R.O. No. 1777 dated 15th May 1954.

4. Add the following as sub-para. to para. 3(b) of the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) Notification No. E54RR2-1 published in Part II Section 3 of the Gazette of India dated 29th May 1954 under S.R.O. No. 1778 dated 15th May 1954:—

“Not more than 33 1/3 per cent. of the vacancies will be filled by departmental promotion; this percentage is likely to be varied from time to time if found necessary”.

[No. E54RR2-1.]

P. N. SAXENA,
Director, Establishment.

REGISTRAR, JOINT STOCK COMPANIES

Madras, the 23rd June 1954

NOTICE PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5).

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, and The National Electrical and Chemical Industries (India) Limited.

S.R.O. 2258.—With reference to the notice No. S.R.O. 725 dated 13th February, 1954, published on page 430 of Part II Section 3, of the Gazette of India, dated 27th February, 1954, the above company not having shown cause to the contrary within the time fixed, the name of the company has, under section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, been struck off the register.

[No. K-1909/53.]

V. V. RANGANATHAN,
Asstt. Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Madras City.

Delhi, the 25th June 1954

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(4) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of M/s. Frank Brothers Ltd.

S.R.O. 2259.—Whereas M/s. Frank Brothers Ltd., is being wound up and it is believed that either no Liquidator is acting or the affairs of the company have been fully wound up. It is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C-199/JSC.]

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(4) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913.

In the matter of M/s. Chishtie and Co. Ltd.

S.R.O. 2260.—Whereas M/s. Chishtie and Co. Ltd., is being wound up and it is believed that either no Liquidator is acting or the affairs of the company have been fully wound up. It is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C-451/JSC.]

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(4) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913.

In the matter of M/s. Fonseca and Munday Ltd.

S.R.O. 2261.—Whereas M/s. Fonseca and Munday Ltd., is being wound up and it is believed that either no Liquidator is acting or the affairs of the company have been fully wound up. It is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C-355/JSC.]

Delhi, the 26th June 1954

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247 (4) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of Jubilee Theaters Ltd.

S.R.O. 2262.—Whereas Jubilee Theaters Ltd., is being wound up and it is believed that either no Liquidator is acting or the affairs of the company have been fully wound up. It is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C-389/JSC.]

Delhi, the 28th June 1954

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. Kaybee Potteries Ltd.

S.R.O. 2263.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. Kaybee Potteries Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1214/JSC.]

Delhi, the 29th June 1954

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. Public Dairy Co. Ltd.

S.R.O. 2264.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. Public Dairy Co. Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1062/JSC.]

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. K. P. Industries Ltd.

S.R.O. 2265.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. K. P. Industries Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1103/JSC.]

Delhi, the 30th June 1954

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. Oriental Planters Ltd.

S.R.O. 2266.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. Oriental Planters Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/829/JSC.]

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. Selected Properties Ltd.

S.R.O. 2267.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. Selected Properties Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/956/JSC.]

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. Mayfairs (India) Ltd.

S.R.O. 2268.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. Mayfairs (India) Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1079/JSC.]

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. Jawahar Textile Mills Ltd.

S.R.O. 2269.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. Jawahar Textile Mills Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1097/JSC.]

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. Princes Theaters Ltd.

S.R.O. 2270.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. Princes Theaters Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1118/JSC.]

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. Jawahar Industries Ltd.

S.R.O. 2271.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. Jawahar Industries Ltd. is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1174/JSC.]

Delhi, the 2nd July 1954

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of the Kritika Prakashan Ltd.

S.R.O. 2272.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named The Kritika Prakashan Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1349/J.S.C.]

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. The Kejriwal Ice & General Mills Ltd.

S.R.O. 2273.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. The Kejriwal Ice & General Mills Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1520/J.S.C.]

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s Kumar Metal Industries (India) Ltd.

S.R.O. 2274.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. Kumar Metal Industries (India) Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1463/J.S.C.]

Delhi, the 5th July 1954

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT VII OF 1913

In the matter of M/s. Kanodias (India) Ltd.

S.R.O. 2275.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named M/s. Kanodias (India) Ltd., is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C/1365/J.S.C.]

N. D. BHATIA,

Asstt. Registrar, Joint Stock Companies, Delhi.

Coimbatore, the 26th June 1954

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and The Coimbatore United Bank Limited.

S.R.O. 2276.—With reference to the notice dated 2nd March 1954 published on page 561 of Part II Section 3 of the *Gazette of India* dated 20th March 1954, the above company not having shown cause to the contrary within the time fixed, the name of the company has, under Section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, been struck off the register.

[No. 4529-K.]

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and Sayee Agencies Limited

S.R.O. 2277.—With reference to the notice dated 2nd March 1954 published on page 561 of Part II Section 3 of the *Gazette of India* dated 20th March 1954, the above company not having shown cause to the contrary within the time fixed, the name of the company has, under Section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, been struck off the register.

[No. 2297-K.]

P. SUBRAMANIAM,

Asstt. Registrar of Joint Stock Companies,
Coimbatore.

Trivandrum, the 29th June 1954

S.R.O. 2278.—Whereas no information has been received that the Kerala Corporation Ltd., Ernakulam is carrying on any business or is in operation it is hereby notified under Section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 that at the expiration of three months from this date, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the register and the company will be dissolved.

[No. C3-151/54.]

S.R.O. 2279.—Whereas information has been received that the Suburban Industries Ltd., Mulanthuruthy, is not carrying on any business or is in operation, it is hereby notified under Section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act, that at the expiration of three months from this date, the name of the company mentioned herein will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the Company will be dissolved.

[No. C4-831/53.]

P. J. VARGHESE,

Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Trivandrum.

Bombay, the 29th June 1954

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act VII of 1913 and of the Godavari Agricultural Products Limited

S.R.O. 2280.—Notice is hereby given pursuant to Sub-Section (5) of Section 247 of the Indian Companies Act VII of 1913 that the name of the Godavari Agricultural Products Ltd., has this day been struck off the Register and the said Company is hereby dissolved.

[No. 7182.]

T. J. GONDHALEKAR,

Registrar of Companies, Bombay.

Calcutta, the 29th June 1954

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Promoters and Agents Ltd.

S.R.O. 2281.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Promoters and Agents Ltd. of 5, Hastings Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Midland Publicity Service (India) Ltd.

S.R.O. 2282.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Midland Publicity Service (India) Ltd. of 14/2, Old China Bazar Street, Calcutta, has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Continental Industries Co. Ltd.

S.R.O. 2283.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Continental Industries Co. Ltd. of 13/2, Roy Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Mahasakti Ousadhalaya Ltd.

S.R.O. 2284.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Mahasakti Ousadhalaya Ltd. of 1B, Old Post Office Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Publicity Syndicate Ltd.

S.R.O. 2285.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Publicity Syndicate Ltd. of 118B, Chittaranjan Avenue, South, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of S. K. Gupta and Co. Ltd.

S.R.O. 2286.—Notice is hereby given that the name of S. K. Gupta & Co. Ltd. of 17, Mangoe Lane, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Silpi Sangha Ltd.

S.R.O. 2287.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Silpi Sangha Ltd. of 112, Mechuabazar Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Continental Publicity Concern Ltd.

S.R.O. 2288.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Continental Publicity Concern Ltd. of 5, Wellington Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Mica Concerns (India) Ltd.

S.R.O. 2289.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Mica Concerns (India) Ltd. of 10, Gariahat Road, South, Calcutta-31 has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Bharat Lakshmi Textiles Ltd.

S.R.O. 2290.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Bharat Lakshmi Textiles Ltd. of 7, Swallow Lane, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Muslim Press & Publications Ltd.

S.R.O. 2291.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Muslim Press & Publications Ltd. of 6, Waterloo Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Calcutta Salt Works Ltd.

S.R.O. 2292.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Calcutta Salt Works Ltd. of 29/6, Giri Babu Lane, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Biswa Bharati Bank Ltd.

S.R.O. 2293.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Biswa Bharati Bank Ltd. of 236, Bowbazar Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of International Commercial Syndicate Ltd.

S.R.O. 2294.—Notice is hereby given that the name of International Commercial Syndicate Ltd. of 3, Tara Chand Dutt Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Standard Biscuit Co. Ltd.

S.R.O. 2295.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Standard Biscuit Co. Ltd. of 35, Chittaranjan Avenue, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Suburban Commercial Bank Ltd.

S.R.O. 2296.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Suburban Commercial Bank Ltd. of Kankinara, 24-Parganas has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Hindusthan Stores & Industries Ltd.

S.R.O. 2297.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Hindusthan Stores & Industries Ltd. of 117, Kalighat Road, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of A. B. N. Ltd.

S.R.O. 2298.—Notice is hereby given that the name of A. B. N. Ltd. of 3D, Ramnarain Motilal Lane, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Brite & Co. Ltd.

S.R.O. 2299.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Brite & Co. Ltd. of 12, Dalhousie Square, East, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Padma Hosiery Mills Ltd.

S.R.O. 2300.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Padma Hosiery Mills Ltd. of 102B, Clive Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Globe Pharmaceutical Laboratory Ltd.

S.R.O. 2301.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Globe Pharmaceutical Laboratory Ltd. of 6, Commercial Buildings, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Roy Agencies Ltd.

S.R.O. 2302.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Roy Agencies Ltd. of 6, Chowringhee Road, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of East Chasnalla Coal Co. Ltd.

S.R.O. 2303.—Notice is hereby given that the name of East Chasnalla Coal Co. Ltd. of 135, Canning Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Paper Industries Co. Ltd.

S.R.O. 2304.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Paper Industries Co. Ltd. of 14, Hare Street, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Hindusthan Paper & Board Mills Ltd.

S.R.O. 2305.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Hindusthan Paper & Board Mills Ltd. of P36, Mission Row Extension, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Bengal Grob Tea Co. Ltd.

S.R.O. 2306.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Bengal Grob Tea Co. Ltd. of 64/1, Garpar Road, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Minerals & Forest Products Ltd.

S.R.O. 2307.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Minerals & Forest Products Ltd. C/o Sri Satish Chandra Hazara of 34-A, Bhupendra Bose Avenue, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Electrical Works of the East Ltd.

S.R.O. 2308.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Electrical Works of the East Ltd. of 108, Vivekananda Road, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913) and in the matter of Butsons Ltd.

S.R.O. 2309.—Notice is hereby given that the name of Butsons Ltd. of 31/1, Tiljala Road, Park Circus, Calcutta has this day been struck off the Register and that the Company is dissolved.

B. P. ROY,

Registrar of Companies, West Bengal.

Bhopal, the 2nd July 1954

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247(3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT

S.R.O. 2310.—Notice is hereby given in pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 247 of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 (VII of 1913), that, at the

expiration of three months from the date of this notice, the name of The Grain Merchants Association will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the register and the said Grain Merchants Association will be dissolved.

[No. 2(1)-ANP/53.]

JAMIL AHMAD,

Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Bhopal.

Jaipur, the 3rd July 1954

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and M/s. Vyapar Sangh, Ramganj Mandi.

S.R.O. 2311.—With reference to this office notification No. 535/JSC, dated the 11th March 1954, published in *Gazette of India* Part II, Section 3 page 607 under section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, it is hereby notified that under the provisions of Sub-Section 5 of Section 247 of the said Act the name of the Association known as Vyapar Sangh, Ramganj Mandi has this day been struck off the Register and Association is hereby dissolved.

[No. 11-2/J.S.C.]

R. P. BHARGAVA, Registrar.

Nagpur, the 3rd July 1954

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 247 (3) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

S.R.O. 2312.—In pursuance of Section 247(1) and (2) of the Indian Companies Act, VII of 1913 two letters were sent on 11th March 1953 and 9th April 1954, respectively to Shri K. R. Deshmukh, Rajnandgaon, from my office enquiring whether the Deshmukh Brothers (Bharat) Ltd., Rajnandgaon, was carrying on business or was in operation, but no replies were received to them. I, therefore, hereby give notice that the name of the said company will be struck off the register of companies kept in my office and that the company will be dissolved at the expiration of three months from the date of this notice unless the company shows cause to the contrary.

[No. 704/54.]

C. C. MUKHTYAR,

Assistant Registrar,

for Registrar, Joint Stock Companies,
Madhya Pradesh.

Ajmer, the 29th June 1954

In the matter of Messrs the Rajputana Industries Limited Nasirabad under section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act.

S.R.O. 2313.—Whereas Messrs. The Rajputana Industries Limited, Nasirabad have not shown cause to the contrary in reply to the notice issued in pursuance of section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act 1913 and published at page 433 in the *Gazette of India*, Part II section III dated the 27th February, 1954 the name of the said company M/s. The Rajputana Industries Limited, Nasirabad shall on publication of this notice in the *Gazette of India*, be struck off from the registers of this office.

[No. A.793.]

D. D. UPADHYA,

Registrar, Joint Stock Companies,
Ajmer.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 2nd July 1954

S.R.O. 2314.—Whereas the Central Government is satisfied that public interest requires the extension of the period specified in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 155, dated the 5th January 1954, declaring the coal industry so far as it is concerned with the production and supply of coal and coke to be public utility service;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares the coal industry so far as it is concerned with the production and supply of coal and coke, to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act for a further period of six months commencing from the 14th July 1954.

[No. LR. 1(32).]

N. C. KUPPUSWAMI, Dy. Secy.

New Delhi, the 2nd July 1954

S.R.O. 2315.—Shri A. Talib, Administrative Officer of the Calcutta Dock Labour, Board who was recalled by Government, relinquished charge of the post with effect from the 1st June, 1954.

[No. Fac. 74(27) (i).]

S.R.O. 2316.—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (1) of clause 5 of the Calcutta Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1951, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. N. Natu as Administrative Officer until further orders, *vice* Shri A. Talib who relinquished charge with effect from the 1st June 1954.

[No. Fac. 74(27) (ii).]

P. M. SUNDARAM, Dy. Secy.

New Delhi, the 3rd July 1954

S.R.O. 2317.—The following draft of certain amendments to the Indian Coal Mines Regulations, 1926, which it is proposed to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 57 of the Mines Act, 1952 (XXXV of 1952), is hereby published as required by sub-section (1) of section 59 of the said Act for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 15th October, 1954.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date specified will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Amendments

In the said regulations—

(i) regulations 13 and 14 shall be omitted;

(ii) after regulation 12, the following regulations shall be inserted, namely:—

"13(1). When there occurs in or about a mine an accident causing loss of life or serious bodily injury, or an accidental explosion, ignition, spontaneous heating, outbreak of fire or irruption of water or an accidental breakage of ropes, chains or other gear by which men are lowered or raised or an accidental overwinding of cages, while men are being lowered or raised, or a premature collapse of any part of the workings, the owner, agent or manager of the mine shall forthwith inform the nearest Inspector about the occurrence by telephone, express telegram or special messenger and shall also, within 24 hours of any such occurrence, send notice thereof in Form IX to the District Magistrate or the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, and to the Chief Inspector and shall simultaneously post one copy of the notice on a special notice board at a conspicuous place in the office at the mine and shall ensure that the notice is kept on the board in a legible condition for not less than two months from the date of such posting.

(2) When an accident causing loss of life or serious bodily injury occurs in or about a mine in connection with the generation, transmission, supply or use of electrical energy, the owner, agent or manager of the mine shall likewise give notice of the occurrence in Form IX to the Electric Inspector of Mines also.

(3) When an accident occurring in or about a mine results in loss of life or serious bodily injury, the owner, agent or manager of the mine shall also give notice of the occurrence in Form IX to the Coal Mines Labour Welfare Commissioner, Dhanbad.

13A. When any accident causing loss of life occurs in a mine, the place of accident shall not be disturbed or altered before the arrival or without the consent of an Inspector unless such disturbance or alteration is unavoidable to prevent further accidents, to remove bodies, or to rescue persons from danger, or unless discontinuance of work at the place would seriously impede the working of the mine;

Provided that if from any cause an Inspector fails to make an inspection within three full days of the date of submission of the notice, work may be resumed at the place of accident.

14. If death results from any injury already reported as serious under regulation 13, the owner, agent or manager shall forthwith inform the Inspector about the death by telephone, express telegram or special messenger and shall also, within twenty-four hours of such death, give notice thereof to the District Magistrate or the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, the Chief Inspector, the Coal Mines Labour Welfare Commissioner, Dhanbad and, in a case where notice was sent under sub-regulation (2) of regulation 13, to the Electrical Inspector of Mines also.

14A. Where any person employed in a mine contracts any disease notified by the Central Government in the Official Gazette under section 25 of the Act, the owner, agent or manager of the mine shall, within 14 days of his being informed of the disease, send notice thereof in Form X to the district Magistrate or to the Sub-Divisional Magistrate and to the Chief Inspector.

(iii) in Schedule I, for Form IX, the following Form shall be substituted, namely:—

“FORM IX

(See regulation 13)

NOTICE OF OCCURRENCE

Dated _____ 19 ____.

From _____

To

1. The Chief Inspector of Mines, Dhanbad P.O., District Manbhum.
2. The District/Sub-Divisional Magistrate _____.
3. The Coal Mines Labour Welfare Commissioner, Dhanbad P.O., District Manbhum.

Sir,

I have to furnish the following particulars of a fatal accident/a serious accident/a dangerous occurrence which occurred at the _____ Mine.

	Situation of mine. (Village, Thana, District and State).	Mineral worked	Name and Postal address of owner
1			

	Date and hour of occurrence.	Place and location in mine.	Number of persons	
2			Killed	Seriously injured

3	Classification of occurrence*	Cause and description				
4	(a) Names of persons killed	Nature of employment	Age	Sex	Nature of injury and if fatal, cause of death	
	(b) Names of persons injured.					

Yours faithfully,
Owner/Agent/Manager.

* Under one or other of the following heads, namely:

- (1) Explosions and ignitions of inflammable gas and/or coal dust;
 - (2) Falls of ground, (a) Falls of roof; (b) Falls of side, wall or face;
 - (3) Haulage, (a) above ground; (b) below ground;
 - (4) In shafts, (a) overwinding of cages or other means of conveyance;
 - (b) breakage of ropes, chains or drawgear;
 - (c) while ascending or descending by machinery;
 - (d) by falling;
 - (e) by falling objects (excluding falls of ground);
 - (f) miscellaneous;
 - (5) Explosives;
 - (6) Machinery, (a) above ground; (b) below ground;
 - (7) Suffocation by gases;
 - (8) Irruption of water;
 - (9) Premature collapse of workings;
 - (10) Outbreak of fire, or spontaneous heating;
 - (11) At railway sidings belonging to the mine;
 - (12) Electricity; and
 - (13) Miscellaneous, (a) above ground; (b) below ground.
- (iv) to Schedule I, the following form shall be added, namely:—

“FORM X

(See regulation 14A)

NOTICE OF DISEASE NOTIFIED UNDER SECTION 25

From

To

The Chief Inspector of Mines in India, P.O. Dhanbad, Manbhum District, Bihar.

The District Magistrate or Sub-Divisional Magistrate _____

Dated _____ 19____

Sir,

I have to furnish the following particulars with respect to an occupational disease contracted by a person employed in the _____ mine.

1. Name of owner, agent or manager _____
2. Situation and Postal address of mine _____
3. Mineral worked _____
4. Name and postal address of owner _____

Particulars of person affected:

5. Name (in Block Capitals) _____
6. Caste or surname _____
7. Permanent address:— Village _____, Thana _____
District _____, State _____

8. Sex _____

9. Date of birth or age _____

10. Occupation _____

11. Date of commencement of employment _____

Particulars of diseases, etc.:

12. Nature of disease from which the person is suffering (state stage) _____

13. Date of detection of disease _____

14. Name and address of Medical Practitioner suspecting disease _____

Date of signature _____

Signature _____

Owner

Agent

Manager.

[No. M-41(23)/52.]

S.R.O. 2318.—The following draft of certain amendments to the Indian Metalliferous Mines Regulations, 1926, which it is proposed to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 57 of the Mines Act, 1952 (XXXV of 1952), is hereby published as required by sub-section (1) of section 59 of the said Act for the information of all persons likely to be affected hereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 15th October, 1954.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date specified will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Amendments

In the said regulations—

(i) regulations 13 and 14 shall be omitted;

(ii) after regulation 12, the following regulations shall be inserted, namely:—

“13(1) When there occurs in or about a mine an accident causing loss of life or serious bodily injury, or an accidental explosion, ignition, spontaneous heating, outbreak of fire or irruption of water or an accidental breakage of ropes, chains, or other gear by which men are lowered or raised or an accidental overwinding of cages, while men are being lowered or raised, or a premature collapse of any part of the workings, the owner, agent or manager of the mine shall forthwith inform the nearest Inspector about the occurrence by telephone, express telegram or special messenger and shall also, within 24 hours of any such occurrence, send notice thereof in Form IX to the District Magistrate or the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, and to the Chief Inspector and shall *simultaneously* post one copy of the notice on a special notice board at a conspicuous place in the office at the mine and shall ensure that the notice is kept on the board in a legible condition for not less than two months from the date of such posting.

(2) When an accident causing loss of life or serious bodily injury occurs in or about a mine in connection with the generation, transmission, supply or use of electrical energy, the owner, agent or manager of the mine shall likewise give notice of the occurrence in Form IX to the Electric Inspector of Mines also.

13.A. When any accident causing loss of life occurs in a mine, the place of accident shall not be disturbed or altered before the arrival or without the consent of an Inspector unless such disturbance or alteration is unavoidable to prevent further accidents, to remove bodies, or to rescue persons from danger or unless discontinuance of work at the place would seriously impede the working of the mine:

Provided that if from any cause an Inspector fails to make an inspection within three full days of the date of submission of the notice, work may be resumed at the place of accident.

14. If death results from any injury already reported as serious under Regulation 13, the owner, agent or manager shall forthwith inform the Inspector about the death by telephone, express telegram or special messenger and also shall, within twenty-four hours of such death, give notice thereof to the District Magistrate or the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, the Chief Inspector and, in a case where notice was sent under sub-regulation (2) of regulation 13, to the Electrical Inspector of Mines also.

14.A. Where any person employed in a mine contracts any disease notified by the Central Government in the Official Gazette under section 25 of the Act, the owner, agent or manager of the mine shall, within 14 days of his being informed of the disease, send notice thereof in Form X to the District Magistrate or to the Sub-Divisional Magistrate and to the Chief Inspector."

(iii) in the Schedule, for Form IX, the following Form shall be substituted, namely:—

"FORM IX

(See Regulation 13)

NOTICE OF OCCURRENCE

Dated.....19 .

From

.....
.....

To

1. The Chief Inspector of Mines, Dhanbad P.O., District Manbhum.
2. The District/Sub-Divisional Magistrate

Sir,

I have to furnish the following particulars of a fatal accident/a serious accident/a dangerous occurrence which occurred at the.....Mine.

1	Situation of mine. (Villagc, Thana, District and State).	Mineral worked	Name and Postal address of owner	
2	Date and hour of occurrence	Place and location in mine	Number of persons	
			Killed	Seriously Injured

3	Classification of occurrence*			Cause and description	
4	(a) Names of persons killed.	Nature of employment	Age	Sex	Nature of injury and if fatal, cause of death
<div data-bbox="217 580 479 670">(b) Names of persons injured</div>					

Yours faithfully,

Owner/Agent/Manager..

*Under one or other of the following heads, namely:

- (1) Explosions and ignitions of inflammable gas;
- (2) Falls of ground, (a) Falls of roof; (b) Falls of side, wall or face;
- (3) Haulage, (a) above ground; (b) below ground;
- (4) In shafts, (a) overwinding of cages or other means of conveyance; (b) breakage of ropes, chains or drawgear; (c) while ascending or descending by machinery; (d) by falling; (e) by falling objects (excluding falls of ground) (f) miscellaneous;
- (5) Explosives;
- (6) Machinery, (a) above ground; (b) below ground;
- (7) Suffocation by gases;
- (8) Irruption of water;
- (9) Premature collapse of workings;
- (10) Outbreak of fire or spontaneous heating;
- (11) At railway sidings belonging to the mine;
- (12) Electricity; and
- (13) Miscellaneous, (a) above ground; (b) below ground.”;
- (iv) To the Schedule, the following Form shall be added, namely:—

“FORM X

(See Regulation 14A)

NOTICE OF DISEASE NOTIFIED UNDER SECTION 25

From

.....

To

The Chief Inspector of Mines in India, P.O. Dhanbad, Manbhum District,
 Bihar.

The District Magistrate or Sub-Divisional Magistrate.....

Dated.....19 .

Sir,

I have to furnish the following particulars with respect to an occupational disease contracted by a person employed in the.....mine.

1. Name of owner, agent or manager.....
2. Situation and Postal address of mine.....
3. Mineral worked.....
4. Name and postal address of owner.....

Particulars of person affected:

5. Name (in Block Capital).....
6. Caste or surname.....
7. Permanent address—village....., Thana....., District.....
....., State.....
8. Sex.....
9. Date of birth or age.....
10. Occupation.....
11. Date of commencement of employment.....

Particulars of disease, etc.:

12. Nature of disease from which the person is suffering (state stage).....
13. Date of detection of disease.....
14. Name and address of Medical Practitioner suspecting disease.....

Date of signature.....

Signature.....

Owner

Agent

Manager.”

[M-41 (23)/52.]

A. P. VEERA RAGHAVAN, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 6th July 1954

S.R.O. 2319.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the dispute between the Imperial Bank of India Ltd. and their workmen.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

20/1, Gurusaday Road, Ballygunge, Calcutta-19

PRESENT:

Shri C. Bhaktavatsalu Naidu, B.A., B.L., *Chairman.*

REFERENCE No. 3 OF 1954

BETWEEN

The Employers in relation to the Imperial Bank of India Ltd.

AND

Their workmen

(Re: Shri D. C. Ghosh of Burdwan Branch)

APPEARANCES:

Shri B. K. Chowdhury, Counsel, for the Imperial Bank of India Indian Staff Association.

Shri S. K. Mullick (Jr.) of Messrs. Sandersons & Morgans, Solicitors, for the Imperial Bank of India.

AWARD

By Order No. LR-100(26)/54, dated the 21st April 1954 of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Imperial Bank of India Limited and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule to the said order was referred to me for adjudication. The dispute was as to whether the termination of service of Shri D. C. Ghosh from the Burdwan branch of the Imperial Bank of India in May 1952 was justified and if not, what relief should be granted to him.

2. The usual notices having been issued to the parties calling upon them to file statement of claim and written statement the Imperial Bank of India Indian Staff Association filed a statement of claims on behalf of the workman on the 11th May 1954. In this statement it is alleged that after holding several temporary appointments from the 3rd January 1949 the said Dulal Chandra Ghose on the 22nd November 1951 was transferred to the Bank's Burdwan branch and was appointed as a probationer in a permanent vacancy in the Cash Department as a money tester and that his services were terminated by a letter, dated the 26th May 1952 without obtaining the permission of the Sastry-Tribunal before which certain industrial disputes between the Imperial Bank of India and its workmen were pending. It is alleged that the termination of employment was by way of victimization and the same amounts to unfair labour practice. The circumstances under which his services were terminated are set out as follows:

In the beginning of November 1951 the Bank tried to increase the working hours on Saturdays by extending the same up to 2 p.m. instead of 1-30 p.m. This attempt was opposed by the workmen who declined to work after 1-30 p.m. A notice was subsequently issued which enabled the clerks to leave office before 2 p.m. on Saturday if they had completed their work. The workmen however continued to contend that the working hour on Saturday should remain only up to 1-30 p.m. On the 29th December 1951 which was a Saturday the said D. C. Ghosh with other workmen of the Bank left office at 1-30 p.m. after having completed his work on that day. On 31st December 1951 the Agent of the Burdwan Branch called for an explanation from all the clerks and cashiers of the Branch, who jointly submitted an explanation on the 4th January 1952. On or about the 3rd April 1952 The Bank framed charges against all the Cashiers including Shri D. C. Ghosh except two of them one of whom worked up to 2 p.m. and the other was absent from the office on that day. On the 7th April 1952 Shri D. C. Ghosh submitted his explanation in writing and on the 22nd April 1952 the Bank purported to have held an enquiry on the said charges. Shri D. C. Ghosh was not properly allowed to be represented and no evidence was recorded in his presence. As a result of the enquiry Shri D. C. Ghosh was discharged on the ground that he was not found suitable for confirmation. It is stated that the said allegation is false as he was discharged because of his siding with the workmen in the industrial dispute and hence the conduct of the Bank amounts to gross unfair labour practice. It is therefore claimed that Shri D. C. Ghosh should be reinstated with full compensation.

The Bank filed written statement on the 1st June 1954. The Bank contends that it has not contravened the provisions of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as he was not confirmed at the end of the probationary period being considered not suitable for confirmation. The Bank denies that the discharge of Shri D. C. Ghosh amounts to victimization or unfair labour practice. It is stated that pursuant to the decision taken by various Banks in Calcutta and Bombay, the Bank reverted to the business hours and working hours which it had been following before the award of the All India Industrial Tribunal (Bank Disputes). As a consequence thereof an order was passed by the Agent, Burdwan Branch on the 3rd November 1951 raising the working hours with effect from the 5th November 1951. The employees however deliberately ignored the said order and continued stopping work at 1-30 p.m. on Saturdays. On 12th November 1951 another order was issued to the effect that no member of the staff should leave office on Saturdays earlier than 2 p.m. keeping his day's work unfinished and without obtaining the previous permission of the Agent. It is further stated that 29th December 1951 was declared holiday on account of general elections, and hence, there was a great rush of work in the Bank on that day and that at about 12 noon when the counters were closed there were still about 50 persons whose bills could not be accepted by the Bank till then. The Agent had therefore to order acceptance of the bills of the said 50 persons. The Secretary and the Assistant Secretary of the local branch of the Staff Association saw the Branch Agent and protested against his order in an insulting manner and informed the Branch Agent that no employee would stay at the Bank after 1-30 p.m. and the Agent would have to manage the work himself. At 1-30 p.m. Shri Ghosh with other employees left duties without obtaining Branch Agent's permission and without completing

the day's work and without even properly handing over the charge of the Bank's monies etc. to the Head Cashier. It is also stated that due and proper enquiry was held on the charges framed against Shri Ghosh and he was given every opportunity to appear at the enquiry and meet the charges against him but that he refused to participate in the said enquiry. The Bank denies that he was discharged because of his siding with the employees. The Bank also denies that unemployment was forced upon him or that he was unemployed or that he is entitled to any compensation.

The points that arise for consideration on these pleadings are:

- (1) Whether the termination of service of Shri D. C. Ghosh in May 1952 contravened the provisions of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947;
- (2) Whether the termination is illegal and was not justified;
- (3) What relief, if any, is Shri D. C. Ghosh entitled to.

Shri D. C. Ghosh was examined as W.W. 1 and the enquiry officer and the Head Cashier were examined as E.W. 1 and 2. Some documents have been filed on either side. At the enquiry the Imperial Bank of India Staff Association was represented by Shri B. K. Chowdhury and the Bank was represented by Shri S. K. Mullick (Junior) of Messrs. Sandersons & Morgans, Solicitors.

Shri D. C. Ghosh entered the service of the Imperial Bank of India on the 3rd January 1949. He held the post of a Cashier in the Burdwan Treasury Pay Office till June 1949. He was employed as a Cashier and also on some occasions as clerk in the same office off and on till October 1950. On the 6th November 1950 he was appointed as a temporary godown clerk at the Bank's Sainthia sub-office for a period of six months from that date. Ex. A is the order of appointment which provides that the appointment will be deemed to have come to an end at the expiry of the said period unless in the meantime it is extended at the discretion of the Bank for a further period. It is seen from Ex. A(1) that this period was extended by another six months and Ex. A(2) shows that the period was again extended by three months from 7th November 1951. On 22nd November 1951 he was transferred as a money tester at Burdwan office in a permanent vacancy. It is admitted that he was appointed on probation which is usually for a period of six months. It follows that the probationary period would have come to an end on the 21st May 1952. In the meantime charges were framed against Shri D. C. Ghosh and an enquiry was held on the 22nd April 1952. Ex. C shows that the temporary employment of Shri D. C. Ghosh was terminated from 28th May 1952 as he was not found suitable for confirmation in the Bank's permanent staff. It is therefore clear that Shri D. C. Ghosh was not a permanent employee of the Bank. If therefore the Bank terminated his services it would not constitute any change in the conditions of service as to require the permission of the Tribunal. This is also the view taken by Shri S. H. Naik, Industrial Tribunal, Bombay in *Globe Talkies, Poona Vs. Shri S. J. Shrigirie* (1952 I Labour Law Journal p. 864).

Assuming however that this view is not correct and that a probationer stands on the same footing as a permanent employee it would have been necessary for the Bank to have obtained permission of the Sastry-Tribunal before terminating the employment of Shri D. C. Ghosh as at the time of the termination there were disputes pending before the said Tribunal between the Imperial Bank of India and its employees. It is admitted that permission of the Tribunal was not obtained. It has been held by a full bench of the Supreme Court in the case of *Punjab National Bank Ltd. Vs. Their employees* (1953 I Labour Law Journal p. 733) that an order of dismissal passed without obtaining the permission of the Tribunal as required by Section 33 was illegal. But on this ground alone the order of the Bank cannot be set aside without going into the merits of the case and finding as to whether the termination of employment of the workman was or was not justified. It has been so held by the Labour Appellate Tribunal in more than one decision following the view of a Bench of the Labour Appellate Tribunal in *Serampore Belting Mazdoor Union Vs. Serampore Belting Co. Ltd.* (1951 Labour Law Journal p. 341) which decision was approved by a full bench of the Tribunal reported in 1952 Labour Appeal Cases page 5.

I therefore find that there has been no contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act and that even though there was a contravention the matter has to be decided on the merits.

The next point to be considered is whether the action of the Bank was justified. There is not much dispute as to the circumstances which led up to the termination of employment of Shri D. C. Ghosh. By an award of the Sen-Tribunal the hours

of working on a Saturday were from 10 A.M. to 1-30 P.M. After the award was set aside by the Supreme Court of India a resolution was passed by All India Bank Employees Association, by which it was demanded that Government should undertake legislation to maintain the status quo in accordance with the Sen-award and in particular in regard to scales of pay and of allowances, working hours and overtime payment, etc. As regards pay and allowances there was legislation but as regards working hours the only general agreement arrived at the conference appears to have been that working hours should be continued as laid down in the award. It is admitted that this agreement was to hold good only till a fresh Tribunal was appointed. With the appointment of Divetia-Tribunal this Agreement must be deemed to have come to an end. The Divetia Tribunal however did not function as the members of the Tribunal resigned their office. Subsequently, the Sastry-Tribunal was appointed and it is admitted that there is an interim award of the said Tribunal extending the hours of work on Saturdays till 2 p.m. Between the resignation of the Divetia Tribunal and the appointment of Sastry-Tribunal the question relating to the working hours was engaging the attention of the several Banks, and they appear to have resolved to extend the working hours till 2 p.m. on Saturdays. The office orders of the Sub-Agent, Burdwan Branch, dated 3rd November 1951 and 12th November 1951 have been marked as Exhibits 1 and 1(a). By Ex. 1 the business hours on Saturdays were fixed at 10 A.M. to 12 noon and the working hours at 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. It was however stated in Ex. 1 that "even with the revision of business hours and despite the half an hour's increase in the working hours on Saturdays it was expected that all members of the staff should be able to complete their work and leave office not later than at present." By Ex. 1(a) the introduction of the working hours from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. on Saturdays was confirmed but it was stated further as follows:

"I have however no objection to allow the staff to leave office even earlier than 2 p.m. on Saturdays provided they finished their work. Any member of the staff leaving office on Saturdays earlier than 2 p.m. keeping his work unfinished or half finished must inform the undersigned of the actual state of affairs relating to the duties entrusted to him prior to his departure."

In spite of these two orders the clerical staff and the cashiers appear to have been leaving the office on Saturdays by 1-30 p.m. Things seem to have come to a head on Saturday the 29th December 1951 as on that day after the counters were closed there was still about 50 payments to be made. To meet the great rush of work on the last working day of the year the Agent issued an order that all the 50 persons who were waiting should be attended to. In spite of the said order however Shri D. C. Ghosh and other employees of the Bank left the office soon after 1-30 p.m. Though the employees stated in their explanation, dated 4th January 1952 (Ex. D) that they left the Bank at about 2 p.m. it now transpires that Shri D. C. Ghosh left the office at about 1-40 p.m. It is therefore clear that he did not remain in office till 2 p.m. or till the persons who were waiting had been attended to. It is no doubt true that Shri D. C. Ghosh was only a receiving cashier and not a paying cashier and hence he will not be ordinarily required to attend to the persons who were waiting for payment. But all the same it was his duty to have handed over the cash to the head cashier and duly accounted for the monies which he had received before he left the office. The Head Cashier who had been examined as a witness states that on account of the pressure of work it was not possible for him to check the cash properly as all the cashiers came in a body and placed their scrolls and cash on his table and were anxious to leave the place. He has given a statement Ex. 5(a), dated 7th April 1952 in which he clearly states that "it was quite false that the Tellers and Poddies handed over proper charge of their cash as at that time he was so busy in passing the direct payment Government bills and receipting Government challans that it was impossible for him to count their cash and also to satisfy himself with the contents of the respective bundles which they in their ugly haste for departure simply piled up on his desk with their respective scrolls and went away". He states that there was no other alternative but to hurriedly count the packets on each bundle and to satisfy himself by accepting whatever figures they gave him. In his evidence before me he has stuck to the statements and I see no reason to disbelieve him. On the evidence placed before me it is quite clear that Shri D. C. Ghosh and the other cashiers excepting one who worked even after 2 p.m. left the office much earlier than 2 p.m. without finishing their work. This would amount to disobedience of office orders and indiscipline.

An enquiry was held by Shri H. C. Sarkar who has been examined as EW-1 and the enquiry report is marked Ex. 5. It shows that the evidence was recorded by the enquiry officer in the presence of Shri B. K. Chatterjee, Secretary, Imperial

Bank of India Indian Staff Association, Burdwan and was read out at each stage to the witnesses. Three charges are set out in Ex. 5. Charge No. 1 relates to the workman leaving his work at 1-30 p.m. before the close of the scheduled working hours of the Bank without permission and without completing the day's work. On the evidence the enquiry officer came to the conclusion that the charge was proved. Charge No. 2 is in regard to a false statement alleged to have been made in the explanation. Charge No. 2 is no doubt defective in that the word 'about' is left out in mentioning the hour 2 p.m. When the clerical staff and the cashiers stated that they left the Bank at about 2 p.m. they meant to indicate that they worked up to very nearly the scheduled working hour. It is clear however from the evidence that they did leave the office much earlier than 2 p.m. So the conclusion that a false statement had been made is borne out by facts. The third charge is only a repetition of Charge No. (1) and need not be considered at any length. I cannot therefore come to the conclusion that the findings arrived at were perverse.

Shri B. K. Roychoudhury, the learned Counsel for the Employees Association, wants me to consider the question from the broader aspect viz. that all employees protested against an illegal order of the employer regarding the extension of working hours and their striking work in a peaceful manner and without resorting to any violence cannot justify the wholesale dismissal of the workmen. It is no doubt true that in a case where there is an illegal strike conducted in a peaceful manner the discharge of the employees from service cannot be upheld but the case of the employee now in question is different. He was a probationer awaiting confirmation and before he was confirmed the management had to be satisfied that he was fit for confirmation in service. He had not only disobeyed the orders which cannot in the circumstances be said to be illegal but also refused to be present at the enquiry that was being held. He insisted upon his being represented at the enquiry by three members of the Central Association and refused to be represented by the Secretary of the local Imperial Bank of India Indian Staff Association. In those circumstances the management thought that the probationer was not fit to be confirmed. There has been no violation of the principles of natural justice as a proper enquiry had been held and ample opportunity was given to the employee to defend himself. It has been held by a full bench of the Labour Appellate Tribunal in *Buckingham & Carnatic Mills Vs. Their workmen* (1952 Labour Appeal Cases p. 490) that undue interference by a Tribunal with administration and management should not be encouraged. It was observed "It would thus be open to the Tribunal to examine the findings of the management on the charge of misconduct to assure itself that there is evidence to support the finding and that the decision of the management is a possible view on the evidence before it. In such a case the Tribunal should refrain from substituting its own judgment for the judgment of the management, as in such matters the Tribunal does not act like a Court of Appeal but rather as a supervisory body, exercising what would ordinarily be regarded as powers of revision for correction of basic errors which go to the root of the matter and of perverse findings."

I have already found that the findings of the enquiry officer cannot be said to be perverse. I cannot also characterise the action of the management as vindictive or capricious as in my opinion the management was merely trying to enforce discipline and were eager to see that the work of the Bank did not suffer.

It cannot also be said that there was discrimination in singling out the case of Shri D. C. Ghosh as the management has applied to the Labour Appellate Tribunal for permission to discharge the other persons who left the office before schedule time. So far as Shri D. C. Ghosh is concerned the management exercised their right to put an end to his probation because in their opinion he was unfit to be confirmed. I cannot characterise this as victimization or unfair labour practice. In the result I find that the management was justified in terminating the employment of Shri D. C. Ghosh. He is not therefore entitled to any relief.

An award is passed accordingly.

CALCUTTA;

The 28th June, 1954.

(Sd.) C. BHAKTAVATSALU, *Chairman*,

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta.

[No. LR-100(26)/54.]

P. S. EASWARAN, Under Secy.

